BUDGET REASONABLENESS REVIEW

Bucket 2 – Council Selected Restoration Component

PROPOSAL TITLE

Alabama Living Shorelines Restoration and Monitoring Project

PROPOSAL NUMBER

AL-4

LOCATION

Mobile and Baldwin Counties, Alabama

SPONSOR(S)

Alabama

TYPE OF FUNDING REQUESTED (Planning, Technical Assistance, Implementation)

Planning, Technical Assistance, Implementation

Ben Scaggs

REVIEWED BY:

12/2/14

DATE:

High-Level Budget Narrative

Does the narrative include a budget summarizing these major categories of effort and includes costs associated?

	YES	NO	N/A
1. Construction	●	○	○
2. Implementation	●	○	○
3. Monitoring	●	○	○
4. Adaptive Management	○	●	○
5. Planning	●	○	○
6. Environmental Compliance	●	○	○
7. Engineering and Design	●	○	○

	YES	NO	N/A
8. Operations	○	●	○
9. Maintenance	○	●	○
10. Adaptation (including what will happen with project if deauthorized/decommissioned)	○	●	○
11. Overhead/indirect Costs	○	●	○
12. Contingency	○	●	○
13. Data Management	○	●	○
14. Is there any element of the budget that appears unreasonable?	○	●	○

Notes:

Budget detail provided for Boggy Point and Pont aux Pins project components, but not for other two components: Coffee Island and Comprehensive Monitoring.

This budget reasonableness review does not replace the more in-depth budget/financial reporting review that is required before a federal grant or interagency agreement can be awarded. Should this proposal be selected for funding, the proposing entity must comply with all budget and financial performance reporting in federal grants and interagency agreements.