BUDGET REASONABLENESS REVIEW

Bucket 2 – Council Selected Restoration Component

PROPOSAL TITLE

Gulf of Mexico Habitat Mapping and Water Quality Monitoring Network

PROPOSAL NUMBER

DOC-2

LOCATION

Across the Gulf with potential targeted case study area

SPONSOR(S)

Department of Commerce, Department of the Interior

TYPE OF FUNDING REQUESTED (Planning, Technical Assistance, Implementation)

Technical Assistance

Ben Scaggs

REVIEWED BY:

12/1/14

DATE:

High-Level Budget Narrative

Does the narrative include a budget summarizing these major categories of effort and includes costs associated?

	YES	NO	N/A
1. Construction	○	○	◉
2. Implementation	◉	○	○
3. Monitoring	◉	○	○
4. Adaptive Management	○	◉	○
5. Planning	○	◉	○
6. Environmental Compliance	○	◉	○
7. Engineering and Design	○	◉	○

	YES	NO	N/A
8. Operations	○	●	○
9. Maintenance	○	●	○
10. Adaptation (including what will happen with project if deauthorized/decommissioned)	○	●	○
11. Overhead/indirect Costs	●	○	○
12. Contingency	○	●	○
13. Data Management	●	○	○
14. Is there any element of the budget that appears unreasonable?	○	●	○

Notes:

This budget reasonableness review does not replace the more in-depth budget/financial reporting review that is required before a federal grant or interagency agreement can be awarded. Should this proposal be selected for funding, the proposing entity must comply with all budget and financial performance reporting in federal grants and interagency agreements.